

## **Annex X**

### **Comprehensive Baseline Cost Estimate**

Table X1	Construction and Acquisition Costs
Table X2	Estimated Cost for Security
Table X3	Contingency Analysis for Levee/Channelization Option

# Annex X: Comprehensive Baseline Cost Estimate

## X.1 General

The construction costs presented in this annex were developed by the Walla Walla District Cost Engineering Branch of the Corps based on the scope of work, assumptions, and methodology presented in the companion engineering annexes (Annexes A through V of this appendix). The following sections summarize specific details concerning the basis of costs for each of the engineering efforts and present cost summary tables for each effort. The comprehensive, detailed, cost estimates were developed using MCACES™ and are on file with the Walla Walla District Cost Engineering Branch of the Corps (see Table X1).

## X.2 Embankment Modifications

### X.2.1 Cost


Components of construction include the following five cost elements: labor, permanent materials, construction equipment, subcontracts, and contractor's expendable supplies. The key ingredient in determining the cost of each of these elements is productivity of the work force and the construction equipment used to perform the various work activities. Productivity rates for the embankment excavation work were selected to reflect local weather, site conditions, work week hours, craft experience and availability, appropriate construction techniques, schedule sequencing, and experience gained on previous construction projects.

There is a difference between the cost for the riprap and the shot rock. The difference is attributable to two basic concepts: 1) riprap will be obtained from quarries, where the relative volume of useable material (yielding larger diameter riprap) is estimated to be about 40 percent; and 2) shot rock is more readily attained as quarries can generally produce a higher yield of rock that meet the requirements for size and gradation. These assumptions were made until further site-specific investigations, test blasting, test fills, and other fieldwork is performed.

Most costs were built up using databases for the cost of components of labor, materials, and equipment. In some cases, costs from the bid tabulations of previously bid and constructed projects were selected to represent the actual cost of similar type portions of this project (i.e., fabrication of trailers to haul fish). These historic values were then escalated to dollar values and adjusted for economies of scale and other factors to provide a rapid and relatively accurate reflection of the cost to do the work. A third source of prices included commercially available construction cost data guides. Generally, costs were built up for the most significant impact items, such as embankment dam excavation, driving interlocking steel sheet piles, levee construction, and production and transportation of riprap/shot rock.


Quantities were developed by Raytheon and are documented in the report entitled, *Embankment Excavation River Channelization and Removal of Concrete Structures*. Quantities developed in this report are intended to be “in-place” quantities. Factors such as swell and compaction are handled by adjusting the quantities in the estimate.



LOWER SNAKE RIVER JUVENILE SALMON MIGRATION					DAM REMOVAL OPTIONS		
FEASIBILITY STUDY					DRAW DOWN		
 US Army Corps of Engineers Walla Walla District	Cost Numbers are for Economic Study Purposes Only Not Intended for Program Funding	TYPE OF COST	DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION DURATION	MID POINT OF CONSTR.	CHANNEL BYPASS	COMPLETE DAM REMOVAL	
	FEASIBILITY ALTERNATIVES =====>					ALT # 4	
	Assumes Unrestricted Funds, No Escalation					(Natural R Channel) OPTION A-3c	(Natural River) OPTION A-3e
DESCRIPTIONS - for Ice Harbor, Lower Monumental, Little Goose & Lower Granite Locks & Dams -> Mc Nary Dam not included.					Thousand Dollars		
<b>CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION COSTS</b>					Summary of Fish Improvements I & II	\$858,939 \$1,795,822	
<b>ANADROMOUS FISH EVALUATION PROGRAM</b>					Annual Costs for 27 Years Each Year	\$2,462 \$2,329	
<b>ALL FOUR DAMS (Monitoring &amp; Mitigation)</b>							
Anadromous Fish Evaluation Program Studies (AFEP)					27 Years Each Year	\$2,462 \$2,329	
<b>BREACHING DAMS</b>					Summary of all the Breach Constr. Dams Costs Below	\$858,939 \$1,795,822	
<b>ICE HARBOR LOCK &amp; DAM</b>					Oct 98 Price Level Summary	\$206,902 \$463,287	
Power House Turbine Modifications					2 Years FY 2005	\$7,857 \$7,875	
Dam Embankment Removal					2 Years FY 2005	\$65,524 \$60,153	
River Channelization					1 Years FY 2006	\$35,349 N/A	
Full Concrete Structure Removal					2 Years FY 2007	N/A \$298,016	
Temporary Fish Handling Facilities					2 Years FY 2005	\$19,702 \$19,637	
Project Dam Decommissioning					1 Years FY 2006	\$1,477 \$456	
Railroad Relocations					2 Years FY 2004	\$6,261 \$6,299	
Bridge Pier & Abutment Protection					3 Years FY 2005	N/A N/A	
Reservoir Embankment Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$44,892 \$44,985	
Drainage Structures Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$1,867 \$1,872	
Railroad and Roadway Damage Repair					3 Years FY 2007	\$6,020 \$6,032	
Recreation Access Modification					2 Years FY 2007	\$2,470 \$2,472	
HMU Modification					2 Years FY 2006	\$3,238 \$3,214	
Reservoir Revegetation (For Air & Water Quality)					4 Years FY 2007	\$8,237 \$8,255	
Cultural Resources Protection					2 Years FY 2006	\$2,275 \$2,281	
Cattle Watering Facilities					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,392 \$1,399	
Real Estate (Excessing Property)					4 Years FY 2007	\$341 \$341	
<b>LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK &amp; DAM</b>					Oct 98 Price Level Summary	\$173,021 \$415,590	
Power House Turbine Modifications					2 Years FY 2005	\$7,857 \$7,875	
Dam Embankment Removal					2 Years FY 2005	\$41,441 \$39,663	
River Channelization					1 Years FY 2006	\$31,847 N/A	
Full Concrete Structure Removal					2 Years FY 2007	N/A \$277,798	
Temporary Fish Handling Facilities					2 Years FY 2005	N/A N/A	
Project Dam Decommissioning					1 Years FY 2006	\$1,539 \$472	
Railroad Relocations					2 Years FY 2004	\$13,921 \$13,629	
Bridge Pier & Abutment Protection					3 Years FY 2005	\$6,414 \$6,429	
Reservoir Embankment Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$38,113 \$37,789	
Drainage Structures Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$2,062 \$2,056	
Railroad and Roadway Damage Repair					3 Years FY 2007	\$4,753 \$4,763	
Recreation Access Modification					2 Years FY 2007	\$2,043 \$2,048	
HMU Modification					2 Years FY 2006	\$2,434 \$2,439	
Reservoir Revegetation (For Air & Water Quality)					4 Years FY 2007	\$6,578 \$6,593	
Cultural Resources Protection					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,578 \$1,582	
Cattle Watering Facilities					2 Years FY 2006	\$2,459 \$2,466	
Lyons Ferry Hatchery Modifications					3 Years FY 2005	\$9,710 \$9,716	
Real Estate (Excessing Property)					4 Years FY 2007	\$272 \$272	
<b>LITTLE GOOSE LOCK &amp; DAM</b>					Oct 98 Price Level Summary	\$192,134 \$386,999	
Power House Turbine Modifications					2 Years FY 2005	\$7,863 \$7,863	
Dam Embankment Removal					2 Years FY 2005	\$26,589 \$25,353	
River Channelization					1 Years FY 2006	\$53,462 N/A	
Full Concrete Structure Removal					2 Years FY 2007	N/A \$250,941	
Temporary Fish Handling Facilities					2 Years FY 2005	\$18,052 \$18,037	
Project Dam Decommissioning					1 Years FY 2006	\$1,471 \$495	
Railroad Relocations					2 Years FY 2004	N/A N/A	
Bridge Pier & Abutment Protection					3 Years FY 2005	\$12,772 \$12,772	
Reservoir Embankment Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$39,718 \$39,349	
Drainage Structures Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$1,789 \$1,781	
Railroad and Roadway Damage Repair					3 Years FY 2007	\$9,814 \$9,814	
Recreation Access Modification					2 Years FY 2007	\$3,257 \$3,257	
HMU Modification					2 Years FY 2006	\$2,643 \$2,633	
Reservoir Revegetation (For Air & Water Quality)					4 Years FY 2007	\$11,100 \$11,100	
Cultural Resources Protection					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,435 \$1,435	
Cattle Watering Facilities					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,973 \$1,973	
Real Estate (Excessing Property)					4 Years FY 2007	\$196 \$196	
<b>LOWER GRANITE LOCK &amp; DAM</b>					Oct 98 Price Level Summary	\$286,882 \$529,946	
Power House Turbine Modifications					2 Years FY 2005	\$8,130 \$8,130	
Dam Embankment Removal					2 Years FY 2005	\$28,376 \$26,231	
River Channelization					1 Years FY 2006	\$27,544 N/A	
Full Concrete Structure Removal					2 Years FY 2007	N/A \$274,492	
Temporary Fish Handling Facilities					2 Years FY 2005	N/A N/A	
Project Dam Decommissioning					1 Years FY 2006	\$1,522 \$487	
Railroad Relocations					2 Years FY 2004	N/A N/A	
Bridge Pier & Abutment Protection					3 Years FY 2005	\$32,672 \$32,672	
Reservoir Embankment Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$56,092 \$55,395	
Drainage Structures Protection					3 Years FY 2004	\$2,838 \$2,830	
Railroad and Roadway Damage Repair					3 Years FY 2007	\$109,420 \$109,420	
Recreation Access Modification					2 Years FY 2007	\$7,973 \$7,973	
HMU Modification					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,745 \$1,746	
Reservoir Revegetation (For Air & Water Quality)					4 Years FY 2007	\$7,729 \$7,729	
Cultural Resources Protection					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,538 \$1,538	
Cattle Watering Facilities					2 Years FY 2006	\$1,037 \$1,037	
Real Estate (Excessing Property)					4 Years FY 2007	\$266 \$266	
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE COSTS</b>					Summary of Dam Routine & Minor Repair Costs, Each Year	\$5,776 \$5,776	
<b>ALL FOUR DAMS (Monitoring &amp; Mitigation)</b>					Oct 98 Price Level Summary	\$133,444 \$133,444	
Wildlife Monitoring Costs					25 Years FY 2019	\$395 \$395	
Vegetation Monitoring Costs					25 Years FY 2019	\$858 \$858	
Fish Monitoring Costs					25 Years FY 2019	\$67,500 \$67,500	
Water Quantity Monitoring Costs					12 Years FY 2012	\$9,600 \$9,600	
Air Quality Monitoring Costs					10 Years FY 2011	\$750 \$750	
Sedimentation Monitoring Costs					10 Years FY 2011	\$2,158 \$2,158	
Fish & Wildlife Mitigation Costs					25 Years FY 2019	\$42,183 \$42,183	
Culture Resources Mitigation Costs					10 Years FY 2011	\$10,000 \$10,000	
<b>ANNUAL ROUTINE OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE &amp; REPAIR COSTS</b>					Each Year	\$4,633 \$4,633	
<b>ICE HARBOR LOCK &amp; DAM</b>					Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost in the Detail Below, Each Year	\$1,631 \$1,631	
NOTE: For the Drawdown Options, Ice Harbor Lock & Dam will Operate another 6 Years after start of project work.							
Operation Costs							
Sub Total Operations					Annual Costs Summary Each Year	\$1,218 \$1,218	
Navigation - (Locks Work)						\$0 \$0	
Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)						\$0 \$0	
Recreation - (Parks Work)						\$764 \$764	
Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)						\$0 \$0	
Fish - (6 Each Extra Barges)						\$0 \$0	

D-X-3



LOWER SNAKE RIVER JUVENILE SALMON MIGRATION						DAM REMOVAL OPTIONS		
FEASIBILITY STUDY						DRAW DOWN		
 US Army Corps of Engineers® Walla Walla District	Cost Numbers are for Economic Study Purposes Only Not Intended for Program Funding		TYPE OF COST	DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION DURATION	MID POINT OF CONSTR.	CHANNEL BYPASS	COMPLETE DAM REMOVAL	
	FEASIBILITY ALTERNATIVES =====>						ALT # 4	
	ENGINEERING APPENDIXES & PATH OPTIONS =====>						(Natural R Channel) OPTION A-3c	(Natural River) OPTION A-3c
Assumes Unrestricted Funds. No Escalation						Thousand Dollars	Thousand Dollars	
DESCRIPTIONS - for Ice Harbor, Lower Monumental, Little Goose & Lower Granite Locks & Dams -> Mc Nary Dam not included.								
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$279	\$279	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$175	\$175	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>Maintenance Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Maintenance	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$413	\$413	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$155	\$155	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$100	\$100	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$133	\$133	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$25	\$25	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$782</b>	<b>\$782</b>	
NOTE: For the Drawdown Options, Lower Monumental Lock & Dam will Operate another 6 Years after start of project work.								
<b>Operation Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Operations	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$309	\$309	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$138	\$138	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$0	\$0	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$171	\$171	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$0	\$0	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>Maintenance Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Maintenance	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$473	\$473	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$150	\$150	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$100	\$100	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$223	\$223	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$0	\$0	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>LITTLE GOOSE LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$630</b>	<b>\$630</b>	
NOTE: For the Drawdown Options, Little Goose Lock & Dam will Operate another 5 Years after start of project work.								
<b>Operation Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Operations	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$395	\$395	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$167	\$167	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$0	\$0	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$228	\$228	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$0	\$0	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>Maintenance Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Maintenance	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$235	\$235	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$27	\$27	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$100	\$100	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$108	\$108	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$0	\$0	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>LOWER GRANITE LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$1,590</b>	<b>\$1,590</b>	
NOTE: For the Drawdown Option, Lower Granite Lock & Dam will Operate another 5 Years after start of project work.								
<b>Operation Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Operations	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$839	\$839	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$447	\$447	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$0	\$0	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$217	\$217	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$175	\$175	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>Maintenance Costs</b>								
	Sub Total Maintenance	Annual Costs	Summary	Each Year	=	\$751	\$751	
	Navigation - (Locks Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Power - (Turbines, Generators, & Power Lines Work)					\$0	\$0	
	Recreation - (Parks Work)					\$161	\$161	
	Fish - (Barging, Ladders, Screens Work & AFEP)					\$100	\$100	
	Fish - (5 Each Extra Barges)					\$0	\$0	
	Wildlife - (Managing Lands Work)					\$429	\$429	
	Other - (Operations, Dredging, Pumping Plants, Bldgs, Plant Eq, all the rest Work except for above items)					\$61	\$61	
	SBC System - Extra Costs due to Options					\$0	\$0	
<b>MINOR - REPAIR COSTS</b> Annual Costs Summary of the Dams Each Year						<b>\$1,143</b>	<b>\$1,143</b>	
<b>ICE HARBOR LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$408</b>	<b>\$408</b>	
<b>LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$196</b>	<b>\$196</b>	
<b>LITTLE GOOSE LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$158</b>	<b>\$158</b>	
<b>LOWER GRANITE LOCK &amp; DAM</b> Annual Costs, Summary of Oper & Main Cost In the Detail Below, Each Year						<b>\$382</b>	<b>\$382</b>	
<b>MAJOR - REPAIR &amp; REHAB COSTS</b>								
<b>TURBINE UNITS &amp; POWER HOUSE REHAB</b> Oct 98 Price Level - Summary, One Total Rehab Shown only						N/A	N/A	
<b>COSTS FOR OTHERS</b>								
<b>FISH HATCHERIES</b> Summary of Fish Hatcheries Operations, Minor & Rehab Costs Each Year						<b>\$14,450</b>	<b>\$14,450</b>	
<b>FISH HATCHERIES OPERATIONS</b> Annual Costs Summary Each Year						<b>\$13,762</b>	<b>\$13,762</b>	
<b>DWORSHAK FISH HATCHERY</b>						\$2,250	\$2,250	
<b>LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH COMP PLAN</b>						\$11,512	\$11,512	
INCLUDING WASHINGTON, OREGON, & IDAHO STATE ALSO NEZ PERCE & CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA								
<b>FISH HATCHERIES MINOR &amp; REHAB COSTS</b> Annual Costs Summary Each Year						<b>\$688</b>	<b>\$688</b>	
An assume costs that goes across the board, 5.0%								
<b>BOR - WATER ACQUISITION AND TRANSACTION COSTS</b> Summary Each Year						<b>\$2,386</b>	<b>\$2,386</b>	
<b>AMOUNT OF THE WATER PURCHASED</b>						427,000 Acre-Ft	427,000 Acre-Ft	
<b>PURCHASING WATER RIGHTS</b>								
<b>ALL FOUR DAMS</b> Annual Costs Each Year								

D-X-5



LOWER SNAKE RIVER JUVENILE SALMON MIGRATION				DAM REMOVAL OPTIONS		
FEASIBILITY STUDY				DRAW DOWN		
US Army Corps of Engineers Walla Walla District	Cost Numbers are for Economic Study Purposes Only	TYPE OF	DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	MID POINT OF	CHANNEL BYPASS	COMPLETE DAM REMOVAL
	Not Intended for Program Funding	COST	DURATION	CONSTR.		
				ALT. # 4		
Assumes Unrestricted Funds, No Escalation				(Natural R Channel) (Natural River)		
				OPTION A-3c OPTION A-3e		
				Thousand Dollars Thousand Dollars		
DESCRIPTIONS - for Ice Harbor, Lower Monumental, Little Goose & Lower Granite Locks & Dams -> Mc Nary Dam not included.				ENGINEERING APPENDIXES & PATH OPTIONS =====>		
Annual Acquisition Costs (Spill effect the amount of water purchases for 427,000 Acre-Ft)				\$5.35/Acre-foot	\$2,286	\$2,286
Annual Transaction Costs (Spill effect the amount of water purchases for 427,000 Acre-Ft)				4.45%	\$100	\$100
Annual Transaction Costs (Spill effect the amount of water purchases for 1,000,000 Acre-Ft)				\$18.0/Acre-foot		
BOR - PURCHASING WATER RIGHTS for an extra 1,000,000 Acre-Ft				10 Years	Each Year	

D-X-7

The assumed swell factors are based on generally accepted values as follows:

- Impervious core—damp; 1,990 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (3,350 lb/cy); 67 percent swell; to 1,190 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (2010 lb/cy);
- Earth rock mixture—25 percent E & 75 percent R, 31 percent swell;
- Gravel—wet, good gradation, 16 percent swell;
- Riprap rock—average; 2,670 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (4,500 lb/cy); 72 percent swell; to 1,550 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (2610 lb/cy).

Prevailing wage rates were obtained and payroll taxes and insurance applied as appropriate to wage and labor standards. The estimate uses Davis-Bacon Labor Rates from general decision WA980001, Modification 13. Materials prices were obtained from appropriate local supply sources, or estimated, based on the cost of erection and operation of site processing plants to handle large volumes of materials available at or near the site. Construction equipment rates for materials excavation, transportation and placement were established to include the cost of ownership, fuel consumption, maintenance and repair and other operations costs (except the labor for equipment operation). The source for these equipment rates is from *Construction Equipment Ownership and Operating Expense Schedule* EP 1110-1-8, Volume 8, September 1997.

Contractor's and subcontractors field office overhead, home office overhead and profit, were established using historical rates for similarly sized jobs and represent the contractor's cost of doing business and assuming the risks associated with construction work. The bond rates were also calculated.

### **X.2.2 Main Productivity Factor**

For each of the construction scenarios, there is one key productivity factor, which controls the rate of material placement (or removal). The key productivity factor for embankment removal is the rate of excavation of the primary excavator. The productivity factor varies according to the amount of working space (related to the embankment elevation), the type and wetness of the material being excavated and the crew set-up needed to efficiently complement the selected types and numbers of primary excavators. The detailed elements of construction scheduling have not been optimized, but have been initially identified and used to set a pace of construction for the utilization and productivity of labor and equipment. Excavation of the earth embankment dam with impervious core could be economically performed with large hydraulic excavators and loaders at rates of 382 m<sup>3</sup>/hr to 1,911 m<sup>3</sup> per hour (500 y<sup>3</sup> to 2,500 y<sup>3</sup> per hour) depending on the number of excavation units set up. Using a 6-day workweek with double shifting, embankment excavation and river channelization could be completed at all the dams by mid-January if drawdown begins on August 1. This pace combined with other activities, falls within the 8-month construction period for completion of the work.

### **X.2.3 Construction Equipment Selection**

The type and size of hydraulic excavator selected for estimating this excavation was a CAT 5130 with a 10-m<sup>3</sup> (13-cy) bucket capable of producing 1,150 m<sup>3</sup> (1,500 cy) per hour. For cofferdam excavating and loading applications, a hydraulic excavator, with a rate of 320 m<sup>3</sup> (750 cy) per hour, was selected for material above the water surface and a dragline with a rate of 321 m<sup>3</sup> (420 cy) per hour for material below the water surface. The material hauling units selected were CAT 777-c (82-metric ton [90-ton] capacity) end dump trucks for all zones. Haul distances from the borrow sites at the dams to spoil locations were scaled from the project area topographic maps.

Additional support equipment selected for placement and compaction of soil and rock materials included more conventional smaller-sized dozers, graders, track and rubber-tired backhoes, and water trucks. Performance rates for these equipment spreads were selected from manufacturer's handbooks and adjusted by experience and site conditions. Costs were developed from *Construction Equipment Ownership and Operating Expense Schedule* EP 1110-1-8, Volume 8, September 1997

Additional costs were developed for drilling blasting, and processing costs, including sorting and crushing, of blasted rock. A barge and tug are part of the floating plant used for underwater drilling, blasting, and excavation.

### **X.3 Bridge Pier Modifications**

The construction cost of modifications to the bridge piers and abutments for the Lower Snake River reservoirs were estimated based on site-specific data discussed in Section 3 of the *Lower Snake River Reservoir Stabilization Plan* (Raytheon, 1997). The estimate assumes that required riprap will be placed from barges prior to drawdown. The sheetpile will also be driven from floating plant. Once drawdown has occurred final dressing of the riprap will occur in the dry.

### **X.4 Reservoir Embankment Modifications**

The construction cost of embankment protection for the Lower Snake River reservoirs was estimated based on quantities developed from information obtained from contracts let for relocation of the railroads and aerial photographs taken prior to filling of the reservoirs. Quantity takeoffs for these protection measures were based on dimensions developed by the Walla Walla District Engineering Division. Quantities were calculated separately for each embankment segment on each of the four reservoirs. A cost was developed for production of riprap based on crews required for drilling and blasting, assumed overburden depth, drill pattern, powder factor, yield of material, secondary blasting, handling of material, sorting and crushing. The other component of the proposed riprap protection was the cost of barge transportation and stockpiling in three of the reservoirs prior to drawdown and hauling from the stockpiles and quarries and placement at the site with final dressing of the slopes after drawdown of the reservoirs occur.

### **X.5 Reservoir Drainage Structure Modifications**

The construction cost of drainage modifications for the Lower Snake River reservoirs were estimated based on site-specific data and generic sketches and layouts of modifications discussed in Section 6.3 of the *Lower Snake River Reservoir Stabilization Plan* (Raytheon, 1997). Quantity takeoffs for these modifications were based on dimensions shown on plan and section drawings for the proposed modifications (see Plates 6-9 to 6-12) and site-specific elevations and slope distances for all identified drains. Quantities were calculated separately for each drain location and combined into an estimate of the cost to construct all drain modifications on each of the four reservoirs.

The total costs for riprap blanket slope protection, riprap blankets for energy dissipation, cleaning of exposed and submerged culverts, additional new culverts, and new combined drainage flow culverts in each of the four Lower Snake River reservoirs was then estimated. Slope protection treatment details and quantity worksheets for each reservoir are shown in the Raytheon Report (Raytheon, 1997).

Horizontal borings were estimated based upon available data for large diameter casings. A large portion of the total cost is involved in mobilizing and setting up the boring pit, aligning guiderails for the boring machine, and machine assembly. It was assumed that areas of horizontal borings would be accessible by existing roads.

The number of contract packages to execute the reservoir drainage modification work is assumed to be two contracts, one for riprap material supply and a separate one for installation. As two reservoirs are to be worked concurrently, this is probably the optimum arrangement for contract administration.

## **X.6 Road and Railroad Repair Plan**

There are approximately 68 potential failures that may occur. This assumed number is based on problem areas observed during the 1992 drawdown. The total embankment repair cost could vary significantly from the present estimate. Some embankment failures may occur in areas that were not identified by this study; however, it is also expected that some of the areas identified for potential failure will not fail. Because of these uncertainties a relatively high contingency was used.

## **X.7 HMU Modifications**

There are eight HMUs with a total of 11 surface water intake pump stations. An average increased pump requirement and piping distance was determined and used as a basis for developing the total cost for modifying all 11 pump stations. The following criteria were used to develop the cost estimate:

- All new piping will be 300 millimeters diameter
- The average distance of the piping will be 300 meters
- The average water requirement will be 79 liters/second
- The average pump size will be 100 horsepower
- The local power company will supply power, but the Corps will pay for trenching.

The two HMUs that use a well-supplied water source will also require significant modifications. It is assumed two new wells will have to be drilled and, at a minimum, require 92 meters of additional drilling below the existing wells depths to maintain the water supply. With this additional depth, higher horsepower pumps will also be required. The estimate also provides for temporary water supply to the existing system via a trailer mounted pump system that could be moved as the water level recedes.

## **X.8 Cultural Resources Protection Plan**

All activities described below will be carried out in compliance with applicable cultural resources laws and regulations. This includes coordinating and consulting with the appropriate State Historic Preservation Office, Tribe(s), and other interested parties.

Mobilization/demobilization costs were factored based on the mileage from either Pasco, Washington, or Lewiston, Idaho, to each reservoir group for sites determined to be accessible by highway, railroad, or currently submerged roadway. Mobilization/demobilization costs for the remote sites were estimated assuming access either by helicopter or boat. Assumptions for remote sites were that equipment, personnel, and material would be trucked to a staging area. From there they would complete the trip to



the site via boat or helicopter. It was assumed that 10 percent of the sites would be accessed by boat while 5 percent would be accessed by helicopter.

The complement of equipment used for the bulk of site protection consists of an 8 m<sup>3</sup> (10 cubic yard) dump truck, pulling a flatbed tilting trailer, with a small front-end loader, and a crew/miscellaneous tool truck. The work crew consists of 4 individuals, 1 loader operator, 1 truck driver, 1 laborer, and 1 working supervisor. Labor tasks will be performed by all crew members. During work activities at remote sites, either a boat and trailer or helicopter will be added.

Since site locations are not specifically identified and each site is relatively small, it was assumed that equipment would be mobilized to each site each working day. Maximum and minimum mileage was computed to sites in each reservoir from the closer of Pasco, Washington or Lewiston, Idaho. The average distance to each reservoir was then used to calculate travel time for the crew and equipment.

The operations required to protect the cultural resource sites include:

- Grading and preparing the site including leveling the site as necessary and manually preparing the surface and placement and securing the geomembrane.
- Placing and compacting a 0.3-meter layer of random fill material. The fill material will be borrowed from any convenient nearby location.
- Preparing the seed bed (manually), applying seed (manually), and placing and securing the erosion protection material for the re-vegetation process.
- Pre-place riprap, gravel, and highway base materials (assumed) during the bank protection operations. The costs are the same as those developed for production and transportation of such materials. The total costs are based on calculated volumes for each type of site.

Access to remote sites by boat or helicopter is estimated by adding this type of equipment to the crews and substituting a bobcat for the small front-end loader.

## **X.9 Project Decommissioning Plan**

### **X.9.1 Abandon Option Cost Estimate**

The abandon option consists of costs to secure the four sites. This is done by placing a fence around the area and securing/hardening all openings.

### **X.9.2 Cost Estimate for Hazardous Materials**

The estimated cost for disposal of hazardous materials, substances, chemicals and wastes at all four projects was estimated by obtaining an inventory to develop the quantities. A crew was developed to assemble the wastes at an on-site collection area. Costs for disposal were based on the current district hazardous waste removal contract.

### **X.9.3 Project Security Cost Estimate**

Costs for security were not included in the construction cost estimate, however they are presented here. The annual cost shown for surveillance is based on one person inspecting a project one time per month. Table X2 shows the estimated cost for project security.

**Table X2. Estimated Cost For Security**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Annual Cost \$</b>
Manned surveillance	5,000
Total cost for Lower Granite Dam	5,000
Total for Little Goose Lock and Dam	5,000
Total for Lower Monumental Lock and Dam	5,000
Total for Ice Harbor Lock and Dam	5,000
<b>Total cost for all four Snake River dams</b>	<b>20,000</b>

#### **X.9.4 Total Cost Estimate for Recommended Decommissioning Option**

The abandon option is recommended for the four Snake River dams. The items included in this option are:

- Install facilities to backfeed power into the project from the existing grid so the existing lighting system can be used.
- Weld Navlock and spillway gates shut.
- Install security fences and signs.
- Secure and harden entrances to structures.
- Dispose and treat hazardous waste.

It is assumed that excess equipment and property will be sold off. Any funds received will offset the cost of removal and transportation.

#### **X.10 Contingency Analysis**

The goal in contingency development is to identify the uncertainty associated with an item of work or task, forecast the risk/cost relationship, and assign a value to this task that will limit the cost risk to an acceptable degree of confidence.

Contingencies were developed at a meeting held on August 18, 1998, with knowledgeable project personnel. Each task was analyzed and contingencies were developed based on the risk factors and uncertainties involved. An overall contingency was developed by applying these contingencies to the direct costs of the tasks and obtaining a weighted average.

Contingency guidance is provided in ER 1110-2-1302. For a reconnaissance/feasibility level, contingencies of 20 percent are considered reasonable for projects over \$10 million and contingencies of 25 percent for projects less than \$10 million. These overall contingency factors are a guide for contingency development and are not intended to restrict or limit contingencies to these values. Table X2 shows the contingencies assigned and the reasoning for the determinations.



**Table X3.** Contingency Analysis for Levee/Channelization Option

<b>Task Description</b>	<b>Contingency Percentage (%)</b>	<b>Reason for Assigned Contingency</b>
Powerhouse turbine modifications	30	Uncertainty regarding the routing of plumbing for cooling modifications and what additional controls and instrumentation would be required.
Dam embankment removal	20	Feasibility-level-of-detail risks involved in moving large amount of material in short time while reservoirs are being drawn down. Quantities and procedures fairly well defined.
River channelization	30	Final alignment and quantities involved are uncertain. Model studies and bathymetric surveys are required.
temporary fish handling facilities	30	High uncertainty in number of fish to be hauled.
Project decommissioning	40	Uncertainty in quantities of waste to be disposed of and requirements to harden structure to keep trespassers out.
Railroad relocations	30	High uncertainty as to requirements railroads will impose on new track alignment.
Bridge pier & abutment protection	25	Uncertainty in quantities and ability to perform installations under bridge structure.
Railroad and highway embankment protection	35	Uncertainty in viability of existing access roads to accommodate construction traffic. Access and slope conditions not full defined.
Drainage structures protection	40	Access to drainage structures is very problematic and high uncertainty because many drainage structures are located beyond the limits of embankment protection activity.
Railroad and roadway damage repair	75	Extremely high uncertainty as to extent of damage that will be caused by rapid drawdown of reservoirs. Amount of damage could easily double.
Recreation access modification	20	Fairly well defined quantities and standard procedures contingency below average for feasibility level.
Lyons Ferry Hatchery modification	30	Uncertainty in depth to which wells will have to be drilled in order to obtain water after drawdown. Unknown condition of long-term sediment accumulation around pipeline. Will dredging be required in order for floating plant to have access to perform work?
Habitat management unit (HMU) modifications	20	Generally good idea of what is required to modify HMUs uncertainty exists in sizing of pumps and requirements of where to place intake structures after drawdown.

Table X3 continued. Contingency Analysis for Levee/Channelization Option

<b>Task Description</b>	<b>Contingency Percentage (%)</b>	<b>Reason for Assigned Contingency</b>
Reservoir revegetation	30	Risk involved in aerial operations that are dependent on weather (i.e., high winds in canyons); also uncertainty in the extent of replanting that would be required. The success rate of aerial seeding is also suspect.
Cultural resources Protection	100	Uncertainty in site quantity, location, and access: since no vegetation would remain after drawdown, it is extremely likely that new sites would be discovered.
Cattle watering facilities	30	Uncertainty in location and depth of wells.
<b>Total</b>		
<b>Weighted Average Contingency</b>	34	